arXiv:1010.0862v1 [math.CA] 5 Oct 2010

The boundedness of intrinsic square functions on the weighted Herz-type Hardy spaces

Hua Wang [*]

School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

Abstract

In this paper, the boundedness properties are obtained for intrinsic square functions including the Lusin area function, Littlewood-Paley g-function and g^*_λ-function on the weighted Herz-type Hardy spaces.

MSC: 42B25; 42B30

Keywords: Intrinsic square function; weighted Herz spaces; weighted Herz-type Hardy spaces; A_p weights; atomic decomposition

1. Introduction and preliminaries

First, let's recall some standard definitions and notations. The classical A_p weight theory was first introduced by Muckenhoupt in the study of weighted L^p boundedness of Hardy-Littlewood maximal functions in [15]. A weight w is a locally integrable function on $\mathbb{R}^n$ which takes values in $(0,\infty)$ almost everywhere. $B = B(x_0,R)$ denotes the ball with the center x_0 and radius R. We say that $w \in A_p, 1<p<\infty$, if

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B w(x)\,dx\right)\left(\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B w(x)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}\,dx\right)^{p-1} \le C \quad \text{for every ball } B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where C is a positive constant which is independent of the choice of B.
For the case $p=1$, $w \in A_1$, if

$$\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B w(x)\,dx \le C \operatorname*{ess\,inf}_{x\in B} w(x) \quad \text{for every ball } B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n.$$

A weight function w is said to belong to the reverse Hölder class RH_r if there exist two constants $r>1$ and $C>0$ such that the following reverse

---

[*] E-mail address: wanghua@pku.edu.cn.

Hölder inequality holds

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B w(x)^r\,dx\right)^{1/r} \le C\left(\frac{1}{|B|}\int_B w(x)\,dx\right) \quad \text{for every ball } B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n.$$

It is well known that if $w \in A_p$ with $1 < p < \infty$, then $w \in A_r$ for all $r > p$, and $w \in A_q$ for some $1 < q < p$. If $w \in A_p$ with $1 \le p < \infty$, then there exists $r > 1$ such that $w \in RH_r$.

Given a ball B and $\lambda > 0$, λB denotes the ball with the same center as B whose radius is λ times that of B. For a given weight function w, we denote the Lebesgue measure of B by $|B|$ and the weighted measure of B by $w(B)$, where $w(B) = \int_B w(x)\,dx$.

We state the following results that we will use frequently in the sequel.

Lemma A ([4]). *Let $w \in A_p$, $p \ge 1$. Then, for any ball B, there exists an absolute constant C such that*

$$w(2B) \le Cw(B).$$

In general, for any $\lambda > 1$, we have

$$w(\lambda B) \le C\lambda^{np}w(B),$$

where C does not depend on B nor on λ.

Lemma B ([4,5]). *Let $w \in A_p \cap RH_r$, $p \ge 1$ and $r > 1$. Then there exist constants C_1, $C_2 > 0$ such that*

$$C_1\left(\frac{|E|}{|B|}\right)^p \le \frac{w(E)}{w(B)} \le C_2\left(\frac{|E|}{|B|}\right)^{(r-1)/r}$$

for any measurable subset E of a ball B.

Next we shall give the definitions of the weighted Herz space and weighted Herz-type Hardy space. In 1964, Beurling [2] first introduced some fundamental form of Herz spaces to study convolution algebras. Later Herz [6] gave versions of the spaces defined below in a slightly different setting. Since then, the theory of Herz spaces has been significantly developed, and these spaces have turned out to be quite useful in harmonic analysis. For instance, they were used by Baernstein and Sawyer [1] to characterize the multipliers on the classical Hardy spaces, and used by Lu and Yang [12] in the study of partial differential equations.

On the other hand, a theory of Hardy spaces associated with Herz spaces has been developed in [3,10]. These new Hardy spaces can be regarded as the local version at the origin of the classical Hardy spaces $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and are good substitutes for $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when we study the boundedness of non-translation invariant operators(see [11]). For the weighted case, in 1995, Lu and Yang introduced the following weighted Herz-type Hardy spaces $H\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)$ $(HK^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2))$ and established their atomic decompositions. In 2006, Lee gave the molecular characterizations of these spaces, he also obtained the boundedness of the Hilbert transform and the Riesz transforms on $H\dot{K}^{n(1/p-1/q),p}_q(w,w)$ and $HK^{n(1/p-1/q),p}_q(w,w)$ for $0<p\le 1$. For the results mentioned above, we refer the readers to the book [14] and the papers [7,8,9,13] for further details.

Let $B_k=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:|x|\le 2^k\}$ and $C_k=B_k\backslash B_{k-1}$ for $k\in\mathbb{Z}$. Denote $\chi_k=\chi_{C_k}$ for $k\in\mathbb{Z}$, $\widetilde{\chi}_k=\chi_k$ if $k\in\mathbb{N}$ and $\widetilde{\chi}_0=\chi_{B_0}$, where χ_{C_k} is the characteristic function of C_k. Given a weight function w on $\mathbb{R}^n$, for $1\le p<\infty$, we denote by $L^p_w(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of all functions satisfying

$$\|f\|_{L^p_w(\mathbb{R}^n)}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|f(x)|^p w(x)\,dx\right)^{1/p}<\infty.$$

Definition 1. *Let $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}$, $0<p,q<\infty$ and w_1, w_2 be two weight functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$.*
(i) The homogeneous weighted Herz space $\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)$ is defined by

$$\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)=\{f\in L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n\backslash\{0\},w_2):\|f\|_{\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)}<\infty\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)}=\left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}(w_1(B_k))^{\alpha p/n}\|f\chi_k\|^p_{L^q_{w_2}}\right)^{1/p}.$$

(ii) The non-homogeneous weighted Herz space $K^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)$ is defined by

$$K^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)=\{f\in L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n,w_2):\|f\|_{K^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)}<\infty\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{K^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)}=\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(w_1(B_k))^{\alpha p/n}\|f\widetilde{\chi}_k\|^p_{L^q_{w_2}}\right)^{1/p}.$$

Let $\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the class of Schwartz functions and let $\mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be its dual space. For $f\in\mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the grand maximal function of f is defined by

$$G(f)(x)=\sup_{\varphi\in\mathscr{A}_N}\sup_{|y-x|<t}|\varphi_t*f(y)|,$$

where $N > n+1$, $\mathscr{A}_N = \{\varphi \in \mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \sup_{|\alpha|,|\beta|\leq N} |x^\alpha D^\beta \varphi(x)| \leq 1\}$ and $\varphi_t(x) = t^{-n}\varphi(x/t)$.

Definition 2. *Let* $0 < \alpha < \infty$, $0 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$ *and* w_1, w_2 *be two weight functions on* $\mathbb{R}^n$.
(i) *The homogeneous weighted Herz-type Hardy space* $H\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)$ *associated with the space* $\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)$ *is defined by*

$$H\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2) = \{f \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : G(f) \in \dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)\}$$

and we define $\|f\|_{H\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)} = \|G(f)\|_{\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)}$.
(ii) *The non-homogeneous weighted Herz-type Hardy space* $HK^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)$ *associated with the space* $K^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)$ *is defined by*

$$HK^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2) = \{f \in \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n) : G(f) \in K^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)\}$$

and we define $\|f\|_{HK^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)} = \|G(f)\|_{K^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)}$.

2. The atomic decomposition

In this article, we will use Lu and Yang's atomic decomposition theory for weighted Herz-type Hardy spaces in [8,9]. We characterize weighted Herz-type Hardy spaces in terms of atoms in the following way.

Definition 3. *Let* $1 < q < \infty$, $n(1-1/q) \leq \alpha < \infty$ *and* $s \geq [\alpha + n(1/q-1)]$.
(i) *A function* $a(x)$ *on* $\mathbb{R}^n$ *is called a central* (α, q, s)*-atom with respect to* (w_1, w_2)(*or a central* $(\alpha, q, s; w_1, w_2)$*-atom*), *if it satisfies*

(a) *supp* $a \subseteq B(0,R) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| < R\}$,

(b) $\|a\|_{L^q_{w_2}} \leq w_1(B(0,R))^{-\alpha/n}$,

(c) $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} a(x)x^\beta\, dx = 0$ *for every multi-index* β *with* $|\beta| \leq s$.

(ii) *A function* $a(x)$ *on* $\mathbb{R}^n$ *is called a central* (α, q, s)*-atom of restricted type with respect to* (w_1, w_2)(*or a central* $(\alpha, q, s; w_1, w_2)$*-atom of restricted type*), *if it satisfies the conditions* (b), (c) *above and*

(a') *supp* $a \subseteq B(0,R)$ *for some* $R > 1$.

Theorem C. *Let* w_1,$w_2 \in A_1$, $0 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$ *and* $n(1-1/q) \leq \alpha < \infty$. *Then we have*
(i) $f \in H\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)$ *if and only if*

$$f(x) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \lambda_k a_k(x), \quad \text{in the sense of } \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_k|^p < \infty$, *each* a_k *is a central* $(\alpha, q, s; w_1, w_2)$*-atom. Moreover,*

$$\|f\|_{H\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)} \approx \inf \left(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} |\lambda_k|^p\right)^{1/p},$$

where the infimum is taken over all the above decompositions of f.
(ii) $f \in HK_q^{\alpha,p}(w_1,w_2)$ *if and only if*

$$f(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\lambda_k a_k(x), \quad \textit{in the sense of } \mathscr{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

where $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|\lambda_k|^p < \infty$, *each* a_k *is a central* $(\alpha,q,s;w_1,w_2)$*-atom of restricted type. Moreover,*

$$\|f\|_{HK_q^{\alpha,p}(w_1,w_2)} \approx \inf\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}|\lambda_k|^p\right)^{1/p},$$

where the infimum is taken over all the above decompositions of f.

3. The intrinsic square functions and our main results

The intrinsic square functions were first defined by Wilson in [17] and [18]. For $0<\beta\le 1$, let $\mathcal{C}_\beta$ be the family of functions φ defined on $\mathbb{R}^n$, such that φ has support containing in $\{x:|x|\le 1\}$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\varphi(x)\,dx=0$ and for all $x,x'\in\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$|\varphi(x)-\varphi(x')|\le|x-x'|^{\beta}.$$

For $(y,t)\in\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}=\mathbb{R}^n\times(0,\infty)$ and $f\in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we set

$$A_\beta(f)(y,t)=\sup_{\varphi\in\mathcal{C}_\beta}|f*\varphi_t(y)|.$$

Then we define the intrinsic square function of f(of order β) by the formula

$$S_\beta(f)(x)=\left(\iint_{\Gamma(x)}\Big(A_\beta(f)(y,t)\Big)^2\frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}}\right)^{1/2},$$

where $\Gamma(x)$ denotes the usual cone of aperture one:

$$\Gamma(x)=\{(y,t)\in\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}:|x-y|<t\}.$$

We can also define varying-aperture version of $S_\beta(f)$ by the formula

$$S_{\beta,\gamma}(f)(x)=\left(\iint_{\Gamma_\gamma(x)}\Big(A_\beta(f)(y,t)\Big)^2\frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}}\right)^{1/2},$$

where $\Gamma_\gamma(x)$ is the usual cone of aperture $\gamma>0$:

$$\Gamma_\gamma(x)=\{(y,t)\in\mathbb{R}_+^{n+1}:|x-y|<\gamma t\}.$$

The intrinsic Littlewood-Paley g-function(could be viewed as “zero-aperture” version of $S_\beta(f)$) and the intrinsic g^*_λ-function(could be viewed as “infinite aperture”version of $S_\beta(f)$) will be defined respectively by

$$g_\beta(f)(x) = \left(\int_0^\infty \Big(A_\beta(f)(x,t)\Big)^2 \frac{dt}{t}\right)^{1/2}$$

and

$$g^*_{\lambda,\beta}(f)(x) = \left(\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} \left(\frac{t}{t+|x-y|}\right)^{\lambda n} \Big(A_\beta(f)(y,t)\Big)^2 \frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}}\right)^{1/2}.$$

In [18], Wilson showed the following weighted L^p boundedness of the intrinsic square functions.

Theorem D. *Let $w \in A_p$, $1 < p < \infty$ and $0 < \beta \le 1$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\|S_\beta(f)\|_{L^p_w} \le C\|f\|_{L^p_w}.$$

The main purpose of this paper is to discuss the boundedness properties of intrinsic square functions on the homogeneous and non-homogeneous weighted Herz-type Hardy spaces. Our main results are stated as follows.

Theorem 1. *Let $w_1,\ w_2 \in A_1$, $0 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$, $0 < \beta \le 1$ and $n(1-1/q) \le \alpha < n(1-1/q)+\beta$. Then there exists a constant C independent of f such that*

$$\|g_\beta(f)\|_{\dot K^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)} \le C\|f\|_{H\dot K^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)}$$

$$\|g_\beta(f)\|_{K^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)} \le C\|f\|_{HK^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)}.$$

Theorem 2. *Let $w_1,\ w_2 \in A_1$, $0 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$, $0 < \beta \le 1$ and $n(1-1/q) \le \alpha < n(1-1/q)+\beta$. Then there exists a constant C independent of f such that*

$$\|S_\beta(f)\|_{\dot K^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)} \le C\|f\|_{H\dot K^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)}$$

$$\|S_\beta(f)\|_{K^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)} \le C\|f\|_{HK^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)}.$$

Theorem 3. *Let $w_1,\ w_2 \in A_1$, $0 < p < \infty$, $1 < q < \infty$, $0 < \beta \le 1$ and $n(1-1/q) \le \alpha < n(1-1/q)+\beta$. If $\lambda > 3 + (2\beta)/n$, then there exists a constant C independent of f such that*

$$\|g^*_{\lambda,\beta}(f)\|_{\dot K^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)} \le C\|f\|_{H\dot K^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)}$$

$$\|g^*_{\lambda,\beta}(f)\|_{K^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)} \le C\|f\|_{HK^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)}.$$

Throughout this article, we will use C to denote a positive constant, which is independent of the main parameters and not necessarily the same at each occurrence.

4. Proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2

Proof of Theorem 1. First we note that the assumption $n(1-1/q) \le \alpha < n(1-1/q)+\beta$ implies that $N = [\alpha + n(1/q-1)] = 0$. For any central $(\alpha, q, 0; w_1, w_2)$-atom a with $supp\, a \subseteq B(0,R)$, we are going to show that $\|g_\beta(a)\|_{\dot{K}_q^{\alpha,p}(w_1,w_2)} \le C$, where $C > 0$ is independent of the choice of a. For given $R > 0$, we are able to choose $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $2^{k_0-2} < R \le 2^{k_0-1}$. Write

$$\begin{aligned}\|g_\beta(a)\|^p_{\dot{K}_q^{\alpha,p}(w_1,w_2)} =&\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} w_1(B_k)^{\alpha p/n}\|g_\beta(a)\chi_k\|^p_{L^q_{w_2}}\\ =&\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} w_1(B_k)^{\alpha p/n}\|g_\beta(a)\chi_k\|^p_{L^q_{w_2}}\\ &+\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} w_1(B_k)^{\alpha p/n}\|g_\beta(a)\chi_k\|^p_{L^q_{w_2}}\\ =&I_1+I_2.\end{aligned}$$

Since $w_2 \in A_1$, then $w_2 \in A_q$ for any $1 < q < \infty$. It follows from Theorem D that

$$I_1 \le \sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} w_1(B_k)^{\alpha p/n}\|g_\beta(a)\|^p_{L^q_{w_2}} \le C\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} w_1(B_k)^{\alpha p/n}\|a\|^p_{L^q_{w_2}}.$$

Since $w_1 \in A_1$, then we know $w \in RH_r$ for some $r > 1$. When $k \le k_0$, then $B_k \subseteq B_{k_0}$. By Lemma B, we have

$$w_1(B_k) \le Cw_1(B_{k_0})|B_k|^\delta |B_{k_0}|^{-\delta}, \tag{1}$$

where $\delta = (r-1)/r > 0$. Using the size condition of central atom a and (1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 &\le C\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}\Big(2^{(k-k_0)\alpha\delta p} w_1(B_{k_0})^{\alpha p/n} w_1(B(0,R))^{-\alpha p/n}\Big)\\ &\le C\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} 2^{(k-k_0)\alpha\delta p}\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= C\sum_{k=-\infty}^{0} 2^{k\alpha\delta p} \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

To estimate the other term I_2, we first claim that for any $(x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+$, the following inequality holds

$$A_\beta(a)(x,t)\leq C\cdot\frac{R^{n+\beta}}{t^{n+\beta}}w_1(B(0,R))^{-\alpha/n}w_2(B(0,R))^{-1/q}. \tag{3}$$

In fact, for any $\varphi\in\mathcal{C}_\beta$, by the vanishing moment condition of a, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \big|a*\varphi_t(x)\big| &= \left|\int_{B(0,R)}\Big(\varphi_t(x-y)-\varphi_t(x)\Big)a(y)\,dy\right| \\ &\leq \int_{B(0,R)}\frac{|y|^\beta}{t^{n+\beta}}|a(y)|\,dy \\ &\leq \frac{R^\beta}{t^{n+\beta}}\int_{B(0,R)}|a(y)|\,dy. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Denote the conjugate exponent of $q>1$ by $q'=q/(q-1)$. Using Hölder's inequality, A_q condition and the size condition of a, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(0,R)}|a(y)|\,dy &\leq \Big(\int_{B(0,R)}|a(y)|^q w_2(y)\,dy\Big)^{1/q}\Big(\int_{B(0,R)}\big(w_2^{-1/q}\big)^{q'}\,dy\Big)^{1/q'} \\ &\leq C\cdot\|a\|_{L^q_{w_2}}|B(0,R)|w_2(B(0,R))^{-1/q} \\ &\leq C\cdot|B(0,R)|w_1(B(0,R))^{-\alpha/n}w_2(B(0,R))^{-1/q}. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Substituting the above inequality (5) into (4) and taking the supremum over all functions $\varphi\in\mathcal{C}_\beta$, we obtain (3).

Observe that when $x\in C_k=B_k\backslash B_{k-1}$, $k>k_0$, the choice of k_0 implies $R<\frac{1}{2}|x|$. We also note that $supp\,\varphi\subseteq\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n:|x|\leq 1\}$, then for any $y\in B(0,R)$, we have $t\geq|x-y|\geq\frac{1}{2}|x|$. Hence, by inequality (3), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \big(g_\beta(a)(x)\big)^2 &\leq C\left(R^{n+\beta}w_1(B(0,R))^{-\alpha/n}w_2(B(0,R))^{-1/q}\right)^2\int_{\frac{|x|}{2}}^\infty\frac{dt}{t^{2n+2\beta+1}} \\ &\leq C\left(R^{n+\beta}w_1(B(0,R))^{-\alpha/n}w_2(B(0,R))^{-1/q}\right)^2\frac{1}{|x|^{2n+2\beta}}. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Substituting the above inequality (6) into the term I_2, we obtain

$$I_2=\sum_{k=k_0+1}^\infty w_1(B_k)^{\alpha p/n}\Big(\int_{2^{k-1}<|x|\leq 2^k}|g_\beta(a)(x)|^q w_2(x)\,dx\Big)^{p/q}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\le C\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} w_1(B_k)^{\alpha p/n}\Big(R^{n+\beta}w_1(B(0,R))^{-\alpha/n}w_2(B(0,R))^{-1/q}\Big)^p\\&\quad\cdot\left(\int_{2^{k-1}<|x|\le 2^k}\frac{w_2(x)}{|x|^{(n+\beta)q}}\,dx\right)^{p/q}\\&\le C\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{R^{p(n+\beta)}}{2^{kp(n+\beta)}}\right)\left(\frac{w_1(B_k)}{w_1(B(0,R))}\right)^{\alpha p/n}\left(\frac{w_2(B_k)}{w_2(B(0,R))}\right)^{p/q}.\end{aligned}$$

When $k > k_0$, then $B_k \supseteq B_{k_0}$. Using Lemma B again, we can get

$$w_i(B_k) \le Cw_i(B_{k_0})|B_k||B_{k_0}|^{-1} \quad \text{for } i = 1 \text{ or } 2.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}I_2 &\le C\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{2^{k_0p(n+\beta)}}{2^{kp(n+\beta)}}\right)\left(\frac{2^{kn}}{2^{k_0n}}\right)^{\alpha p/n}\left(\frac{2^{kn}}{2^{k_0n}}\right)^{p/q}\\&= C\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2^{(k-k_0)(np+\beta p-\alpha p-np/q)}}\\&= C\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{2^{k(np+\beta p-\alpha p-np/q)}}\\&\le C,\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

where the last series is convergent since $\alpha < n(1-1/q)+\beta$. Combining the above estimate (7) with (2), we get the desired result.

We are now in a position to give the proof of Theorem 1 for the case $0 < p \le 1$. For every $f \in H\dot{K}_q^{\alpha,p}(w_1,w_2)$, then by Theorem C, we have the decomposition $f = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}\lambda_j a_j$, where $\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}|\lambda_j|^p < \infty$ and each a_j is a central $(\alpha,q,0;w_1,w_2)$-atom. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\|g_\beta(f)\|^p_{\dot{K}_q^{\alpha,p}(w_1,w_2)} &\le C\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}w_1(B_k)^{\alpha p/n}\Big(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}|\lambda_j|\|g_\beta(a_j)\chi_k\|_{L^q_{w_2}}\Big)^p\\&\le C\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}w_1(B_k)^{\alpha p/n}\Big(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}|\lambda_j|^p\|g_\beta(a_j)\chi_k\|^p_{L^q_{w_2}}\Big)\\&\le C\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}|\lambda_j|^p\\&\le C\|f\|^p_{H\dot{K}_q^{\alpha,p}(w_1,w_2)}.\end{aligned}$$

We now consider the case $1 < p < \infty$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $supp\, a_j \subseteq B(0,R_j)$ and $R_j = 2^j$. Write

$$\begin{aligned}\|g_\beta(f)\|^p_{\dot K^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)} \leq& C\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} w_1(B_k)^{\alpha p/n}\Big(\sum_{j=k-1}^{\infty}|\lambda_j|\|g_\beta(a_j)\chi_k\|_{L^q_{w_2}}\Big)^p\\ &+C\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} w_1(B_k)^{\alpha p/n}\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2}|\lambda_j|\|g_\beta(a_j)\chi_k\|_{L^q_{w_2}}\Big)^p\\ =&I'_1+I'_2.\end{aligned}$$

We first deal with I'_1. Applying Hölder's inequality and Theorem D, we have

$$\begin{aligned}I'_1 &\leq C\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} w_1(B_k)^{\alpha p/n}\Big(\sum_{j=k-1}^{\infty}|\lambda_j|\|a_j\|_{L^q_{w_2}}\Big)^p\\ &\leq C\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} w_1(B_k)^{\alpha p/n}\Big(\sum_{j=k-1}^{\infty}|\lambda_j|^p w_1(B_j)^{-\alpha p/2n}\Big)\Big(\sum_{j=k-1}^{\infty}w_1(B_j)^{-\alpha p'/2n}\Big)^{p/p'}.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma B, we thus obtain

$$\Big(\sum_{j=k-1}^{\infty}w_1(B_j)^{-\alpha p'/2n}\Big)^{p/p'} \leq Cw_1(B_{k-1})^{-\alpha p/2n},$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned}I'_1 &\leq C\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} w_1(B_{k-1})^{\alpha p/2n}\Big(\sum_{j=k-1}^{\infty}|\lambda_j|^p w_1(B_j)^{-\alpha p/2n}\Big)\\ &\leq C\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}|\lambda_j|^p\Big(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{j+1}w_1(B_{k-1})^{\alpha p/2n}w_1(B_j)^{-\alpha p/2n}\Big).\end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma B again, it is easy to show that the above series in the bracket is bounded by a constant which is independent of $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence

$$I'_1 \leq C\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}|\lambda_j|^p \leq C\|f\|^p_{H\dot K^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)}. \tag{8}$$

We now turn to estimate I'_2. Observe that if $y \in B(0,R_j)$, $j \leq k-2$ and $x \in C_k = B_k\backslash B_{k-1}$, then we have $|y| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x|$. As before, it follows immediately from the inequality (6) that

$$\begin{aligned} I_2' &\leq C\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} w_1(B_k)^{\alpha p/n}\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2}|\lambda_j|\frac{R_j^{n+\beta}}{2^{k(n+\beta)}}w_1(B_j)^{-\alpha/n}w_2(B_j)^{-1/q}w_2(B_k)^{1/q}\Big)^p \\ &= C\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} w_1(B_k)^{\alpha p/n}w_2(B_k)^{p/q}\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2}|\lambda_j|\frac{R_j^{n+\beta}}{2^{k(n+\beta)}}w_1(B_j)^{-\alpha/n}w_2(B_j)^{-1/q}\Big)^p. \end{aligned}$$

By using Hölder's inequality, we obtain that the above expression in the bracket is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2}|\lambda_j|^p\left(\frac{R_j}{2^k}\right)^{p(n+\beta)/2}w_1(B_j)^{-\alpha p/2n}w_2(B_j)^{-p/2q}\Big) \\ \times&\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2}\left(\frac{R_j}{2^k}\right)^{p'(n+\beta)/2}w_1(B_j)^{-\alpha p'/2n}w_2(B_j)^{-p'/2q}\Big)^{p/p'}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma B that

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2}\left(\frac{R_j}{2^k}\right)^{p'(n+\beta)/2}w_1(B_j)^{-\alpha p'/2n}w_2(B_j)^{-p'/2q}\Big)^{p/p'} \\ \leq& C\cdot w_1(B_{k-2})^{-\alpha p/2n}w_2(B_{k-2})^{-p/2q}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} I_2' \leq& C\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} w_1(B_{k-2})^{\alpha p/2n}w_2(B_{k-2})^{p/2q} \\ &\cdot\Big(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{k-2}|\lambda_j|^p\Big(\frac{R_j}{2^k}\Big)^{p(n+\beta)/2}w_1(B_j)^{-\alpha p/2n}w_2(B_j)^{-p/2q}\Big) \\ \leq& C\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}|\lambda_j|^p\Big(\sum_{k=j+2}^{\infty}\Big(\frac{R_j}{2^k}\Big)^{p(n+\beta)/2}\Big(\frac{w_1(B_{k-2})}{w_1(B_j)}\Big)^{\alpha p/2n}\Big(\frac{w_2(B_{k-2})}{w_2(B_j)}\Big)^{p/2q}\Big). \end{aligned}$$

By using Lemma B again, it is easy to check that the above series in the bracket is bounded by a constant which is independent of $j\in\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore

$$I_2' \leq C\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}|\lambda_j|^p \leq C\|f\|^p_{H\dot{K}_q^{\alpha,p}(w_1,w_2)}. \tag{9}$$

Summarizing the estimates (8) and (9) derived above, we get the desired result. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. □

Proof of Theorem 2. The proof is similar. We only point out the minor differences. Write

$$\begin{aligned}\|S_\beta(a)\|^p_{\dot{K}^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)} =&\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} w_1(B_k)^{\alpha p/n}\|S_\beta(a)\chi_k\|^p_{L^q_{w_2}}\\ =&\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} w_1(B_k)^{\alpha p/n}\|S_\beta(a)\chi_k\|^p_{L^q_{w_2}}\\ &+\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} w_1(B_k)^{\alpha p/n}\|S_\beta(a)\chi_k\|^p_{L^q_{w_2}}\\ =&J_1+J_2.\end{aligned}$$

Using the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1, we can get

$$J_1 \le C.$$

Let k_0 and R be the same as in Theorem 1. Observe that if $x \in B_k\backslash B_{k-1}$, $k > k_0$ and $z \in B(0,R)$, then we have $|z| \le \frac{1}{2}|x|$. Furthermore, when $|x-y| < t$ and $|y-z| < t$, then we can deduce

$$2t > |x-z| \ge |x| - |z| \ge \frac{1}{2}|x|.$$

By using the estimate (3), we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\big(S_\beta(a)(x)\big)^2 \le& C\left(R^{n+\beta}w_1(B(0,R))^{-\alpha/n}w_2(B(0,R))^{-1/q}\right)^2\\ &\cdot\int_{\frac{|x|}{4}}^\infty\int_{|y-x|<t}\frac{dydt}{t^{2n+2\beta+n+1}}\\ \le& C\left(R^{n+\beta}w_1(B(0,R))^{-\alpha/n}w_2(B(0,R))^{-1/q}\right)^2\int_{\frac{|x|}{4}}^\infty\frac{dt}{t^{2n+2\beta+1}}\\ \le& C\left(R^{n+\beta}w_1(B(0,R))^{-\alpha/n}w_2(B(0,R))^{-1/q}\right)^2\frac{1}{|x|^{2n+2\beta}}. \quad (10)\end{aligned}$$

Following the same lines as that of Theorem 1, we can prove

$$J_2 \le C.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2 for the case $0 < p \le 1$. As for the case $1 < p < \infty$, by the above observation and the estimate (10), we are able to establish our desired result. □

5. Proof of Theorem 3

We first establish the following three propositions which will be used in the proof of our theorem.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $w \in A_1$, $0 < \beta \le 1$. Then for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have*

$$\|S_{\beta,2^j}(a)\|_{L^2_w} \le C \cdot 2^{jn/2} \|S_\beta(a)\|_{L^2_w}.$$

Proof. Since $w \in A_1$, then by Lemma A, we have

$$w(B(y,2^j t)) = w(2^j B(y,t)) \le C \cdot 2^{jn} w(B(y,t)) \quad j = 1,2,\dots.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\|S_{\beta,2^j}(a)\|^2_{L^2_w} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} \Big(A_\beta(a)(y,t) \Big)^2 \chi_{|x-y|<2^j t} \frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}} \bigg) w(x)\,dx \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} \Big(\int_{|x-y|<2^j t} w(x)\,dx \Big) \Big(A_\beta(a)(y,t) \Big)^2 \frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}} \\
&\le C \cdot 2^{jn} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} \Big(\int_{|x-y|<t} w(x)\,dx \Big) \Big(A_\beta(a)(y,t) \Big)^2 \frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}} \\
&= C \cdot 2^{jn} \|S_\beta(a)\|^2_{L^2_w}.
\end{aligned}$$

We are done. □

Proposition 5.2. *Let $w \in A_1$, $0 < \beta \le 1$ and $2 < q < \infty$. Then for any $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have*

$$\|S_{\beta,2^j}(a)\|_{L^q_w} \le C \cdot 2^{jn/2} \|S_\beta(a)\|_{L^q_w}.$$

Proof. It is easy to see that

$$\|S_{\beta,2^j}(a)\|^2_{L^q_w} = \|S_{\beta,2^j}(a)^2\|_{L^{q/2}_w}.$$

Since $q/2 > 1$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|S_{\beta,2^j}(a)^2\|_{L^{q/2}_w} \\
&= \sup_{\|b\|_{L^{(q/2)'}_w} \le 1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} S_{\beta,2^j}(a)(x)^2 b(x) w(x)\,dx \right| \\
&= \sup_{\|b\|_{L^{(q/2)'}_w} \le 1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \bigg(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} \Big(A_\beta(a)(y,t) \Big)^2 \chi_{|x-y|<2^j t} \frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}} \bigg) b(x) w(x)\,dx \right| \qquad (11) \\
&= \sup_{\|b\|_{L^{(q/2)'}_w} \le 1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+} \Big(\int_{|x-y|<2^j t} b(x) w(x)\,dx \Big) \Big(A_\beta(a)(y,t) \Big)^2 \frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}} \right|.
\end{aligned}$$

Denote the weighted maximal operator by M_w; that is

$$M_w(f)(x)=\sup_{x\in B}\frac{1}{w(B)}\int_B |f(y)|w(y)\,dy.$$

By Lemma A, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{|x-y|<2^jt} b(x)w(x)\,dx &\le C\cdot 2^{jn}w(B(y,t))\frac{1}{w(B(y,2^jt))}\int_{B(y,2^jt)} b(x)w(x)\,dx\\ &\le C\cdot 2^{jn}w(B(y,t))\inf_{x\in B(y,2^jt)} M_w(b)(x)\\ &\le C\cdot 2^{jn}\int_{|x-y|<t} M_w(b)(x)w(x)\,dx. \qquad (12)\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above inequality (12) into (11) and using Hölder's inequality and the $L^{(q/2)'}_w$ boundedness of M_w, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|S_{\beta,2^j}(a)^2\|_{L^{q/2}_w} &\le C\cdot 2^{jn}\sup_{\|b\|_{L^{(q/2)'}_w}\le 1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} S_\beta(a)(x)^2 M_w(b)(x)w(x)\,dx\\ &\le C\cdot 2^{jn}\|S_\beta(a)^2\|_{L^{q/2}_w}\sup_{\|b\|_{L^{(q/2)'}_w}\le 1}\|M_w(b)\|_{L^{(q/2)'}_w}\\ &\le C\cdot 2^{jn}\|S_\beta(a)^2\|_{L^{q/2}_w}\\ &= C\cdot 2^{jn}\|S_\beta(a)\|^2_{L^q_w}.\end{aligned}$$

This implies the desired estimate. □

Proposition 5.3. *Let $w\in A_1$, $0<\beta\le 1$ and $1<q<2$. Then for any $j\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, we have*

$$\|S_{\beta,2^j}(a)\|_{L^q_w}\le C\cdot 2^{jn/q}\|S_\beta(a)\|_{L^q_w}.$$

Proof. We will adopt the method given in [16, page 315-316]. Set $\Omega_\lambda=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n : S_\beta(a)(x)>\lambda\}$ and $\Omega_{\lambda,j}=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n : S_{\beta,2^j}(a)(x)>\lambda\}$. We also set

$$\Omega^*_\lambda=\{x\in\mathbb{R}^n : M_w(\chi_{\Omega_\lambda})(x)>1/2^{jn+1}\}.$$

Observe that $w(\Omega_{\lambda,j})\le w(\Omega^*_\lambda)+w(\Omega_{\lambda,j}\cap(\mathbb{R}^n\backslash\Omega^*_\lambda))$.
Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\|S_{\beta,2^j}(a)\|^q_{L^q_w} &= \int_0^\infty q\lambda^{q-1}w(\Omega_{\lambda,j})\,d\lambda\\ &\le \int_0^\infty q\lambda^{q-1}w(\Omega^*_\lambda)\,d\lambda+\int_0^\infty q\lambda^{q-1}w(\Omega_{\lambda,j}\cap(\mathbb{R}^n\backslash\Omega^*_\lambda))d\lambda\\ &= \mathrm{I}+\mathrm{II}.\end{aligned}$$

The weighted weak type estimate of M_w implies

$$\mathrm{I} \le C \cdot 2^{jn} \int_0^\infty q\lambda^{q-1} w(\Omega_\lambda)\, d\lambda \le C \cdot 2^{jn} \|S_\beta(a)\|_{L^q_w}^q. \tag{13}$$

To estimate II, we now claim that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Omega_\lambda^*} S_{\beta,2^j}(a)(x)^2 w(x)\, dx \le C \cdot 2^{jn} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Omega_\lambda} S_\beta(a)(x)^2 w(x)\, dx. \tag{14}$$

It follows from Chebyshev's inequality and the inequality (14) that

$$\begin{aligned} w(\Omega_{\lambda,j} \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Omega_\lambda^*)) &\le \lambda^{-2} \int_{\Omega_{\lambda,j} \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Omega_\lambda^*)} S_{\beta,2^j}(a)(x)^2 w(x)\, dx \\ &\le \lambda^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Omega_\lambda^*} S_{\beta,2^j}(a)(x)^2 w(x)\, dx \\ &\le C \cdot 2^{jn} \lambda^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Omega_\lambda} S_\beta(a)(x)^2 w(x)\, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\mathrm{II} \le C \cdot 2^{jn} \int_0^\infty q\lambda^{q-1} \left(\lambda^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Omega_\lambda} S_\beta(a)(x)^2 w(x)\, dx \right) d\lambda.$$

Changing the order of integration yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{II} &\le C \cdot 2^{jn} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} S_\beta(a)(x)^2 \left(\int_{|S_\beta(a)(x)|}^\infty q\lambda^{q-3}\, d\lambda \right) w(x)\, dx \\ &\le C \cdot 2^{jn} \frac{q}{2-q} \|S_\beta(a)\|_{L^q_w}^q. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Combining the above estimate (15) with (13), we complete the proof of Proposition 5.3.

So it remains to prove the inequality (14).

Set $\Gamma_{2^j}(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Omega_\lambda^*) = \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Omega_\lambda^*} \Gamma_{2^j}(x)$ and $\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Omega_\lambda) = \bigcup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Omega_\lambda} \Gamma(x)$. For each given $(y,t) \in \Gamma_{2^j}(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Omega_\lambda^*)$, by Lemma A, we thus have

$$w\big(B(y, 2^j t) \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Omega_\lambda^*)\big) \le C \cdot 2^{jn} w(B(y,t)).$$

It is easy to check that $w(B(y,t) \cap \Omega_\lambda) \le \frac{1}{2} w(B(y,t))$ and $\Gamma_{2^j}(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Omega_\lambda^*) \subseteq \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Omega_\lambda)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} w(B(y,t)) &= w\big(B(y,t) \cap \Omega_\lambda\big) + w\big(B(y,t) \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Omega_\lambda)\big) \\ &\le \frac{1}{2} w(B(y,t)) + w\big(B(y,t) \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Omega_\lambda)\big), \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$w\big(B(y,2^jt)\cap(\mathbb{R}^n\backslash\Omega_\lambda^*)\big)\le C\cdot 2^{jn}w\big(B(y,t)\cap(\mathbb{R}^n\backslash\Omega_\lambda)\big).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\backslash\Omega_\lambda^*}S_{\beta,2^j}(a)(x)^2w(x)\,dx\\ =&\int_{\Gamma_{2^j}(\mathbb{R}^n\backslash\Omega_\lambda^*)}\Big(\int_{B(y,2^jt)\cap(\mathbb{R}^n\backslash\Omega_\lambda^*)}w(x)\,dx\Big)\Big(A_\beta(y,t)\Big)^2\frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}}\\ \le& C\cdot 2^{jn}\int_{\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^n\backslash\Omega_\lambda)}\Big(\int_{B(y,t)\cap(\mathbb{R}^n\backslash\Omega_\lambda)}w(x)\,dx\Big)\Big(A_\beta(y,t)\Big)^2\frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}}\\ \le& C\cdot 2^{jn}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\backslash\Omega_\lambda}S_\beta(a)(x)^2w(x)\,dx.\end{aligned}$$

We are done. $\square$

We are now in a position to give the proof of Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 3. From the definition, we readily see that

$$\begin{aligned}g^*_{\lambda,\beta}(a)(x)^2&=\iint_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}_+}\left(\frac{t}{t+|x-y|}\right)^{\lambda n}(A_\beta(a)(y,t))^2\frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}}\\ &=\int_0^\infty\int_{|x-y|<t}\left(\frac{t}{t+|x-y|}\right)^{\lambda n}(A_\beta(a)(y,t))^2\frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}}\\ &+\sum_{j=1}^\infty\int_0^\infty\int_{2^{j-1}t\le|x-y|<2^jt}\left(\frac{t}{t+|x-y|}\right)^{\lambda n}(A_\beta(a)(y,t))^2\frac{dydt}{t^{n+1}}\\ &\le C\Big[S_\beta(a)(x)^2+\sum_{j=1}^\infty 2^{-j\lambda n}S_{\beta,2^j}(a)(x)^2\Big].\end{aligned}\tag{16}$$

We write

$$\begin{aligned}\|g^*_{\lambda,\beta}(a)\|^p_{\dot K^{\alpha,p}_q(w_1,w_2)}&=\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0}w_1(B_k)^{\alpha p/n}\|g^*_{\lambda,\beta}(a)\chi_k\|^p_{L^q_{w_2}}\\ &+\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty}w_1(B_k)^{\alpha p/n}\|g^*_{\lambda,\beta}(a)\chi_k\|^p_{L^q_{w_2}}\\ &=K_1+K_2.\end{aligned}$$

Applying Proposition 5.1–5.3 and the inequality (16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}K_1 &\le C\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} w_1(B_k)^{\alpha p/n}\Big\{\|S_\beta(a)\chi_k\|_{L^q_{w_2}}^p + \Big(\sum_{j=1}^\infty 2^{-\frac{j\lambda n}{2}}\|S_{\beta,2^j}(a)\chi_k\|_{L^q_{w_2}}\Big)^p\Big\}\\ &\le C\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} w_1(B_k)^{\alpha p/n}\Big\{\|S_\beta(a)\|_{L^q_{w_2}}^p + \Big(\sum_{j=1}^\infty 2^{-\frac{j\lambda n}{2}}2^{jn}\|S_\beta(a)\|_{L^q_{w_2}}\Big)^p\Big\}\\ &\le C\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} w_1(B_k)^{\alpha p/n}\|S_\beta(a)\|_{L^q_{w_2}}^p\Big(1+\Big(\sum_{j=1}^\infty 2^{-\frac{j\lambda n}{2}}2^{jn}\Big)^p\Big).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\lambda > 3$, then the last series in the bracket is convergent. Hence, by Theorem D, we have

$$K_1 \le C\sum_{k=-\infty}^{k_0} w_1(B_k)^{\alpha p/n}\|a\|_{L^q_{w_2}}^p.$$

Following the same lines as in Theorem 1, we can prove

$$K_1 \le C. \tag{17}$$

Let k_0 and R be the same as in Theorem 1. By a simple calculation, we know that for any given $(y,t)\in\Gamma_{2^j}(x)$, $x\in B_k\backslash B_{k-1}$, $k>k_0$, then $t\ge \frac{1}{2^{j+2}}|x|$. It follows from the inequality (3) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\big|S_{\beta,2^j}(a)(x)\big|^2\\ \le& C\left(R^{n+\beta}\|a\|_{L^q_{w_2}}w_2(B(0,R))^{-1/q}\right)^2\int_{|x|/2^{j+2}}^\infty\int_{|y-x|<2^jt}\frac{dydt}{t^{2n+2\beta+n+1}}\\ \le& C\left(R^{n+\beta}\|a\|_{L^q_{w_2}}w_2(B(0,R))^{-1/q}\right)^2 2^{jn}\cdot\int_{|x|/2^{j+2}}^\infty\frac{dt}{t^{2n+2\beta+1}}\\ \le& C\left(R^{n+\beta}\|a\|_{L^q_{w_2}}w_2(B(0,R))^{-1/q}\right)^2 2^{j(3n+2\beta)}|x|^{-2n-2\beta}.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}\|S_{\beta,2^j}(a)\chi_k\|_{L^q_{w_2}} \le& C\cdot R^{n+\beta}\|a\|_{L^q_{w_2}}w_2(B(0,R))^{-1/q}\cdot 2^{\frac{j(3n+2\beta)}{2}}\\ &\cdot\left(\int_{2^{k-1}<|x|\le 2^k}\frac{w_2(x)}{|x|^{(n+\beta)q}}\,dx\right)^{1/q}\\ \le& C\cdot 2^{\frac{j(3n+2\beta)}{2}}2^{-k(n+\beta)}R^{n+\beta}\|a\|_{L^q_{w_2}}\left(\frac{w_2(B_k)}{w_2(B(0,R))}\right)^{1/q}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\frac{j\lambda n}{2}}\|S_{\beta,2^j}(a)\chi_k\|_{L^q_{w_2}}\\
\le& C\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\frac{j\lambda n}{2}} 2^{\frac{j(3n+2\beta)}{2}} 2^{-k(n+\beta)} R^{n+\beta}\|a\|_{L^q_{w_2}}\left(\frac{w_2(B_k)}{w_2(B(0,R))}\right)^{1/q}\\
=& C2^{-k(n+\beta)}R^{n+\beta}\|a\|_{L^q_{w_2}}\left(\frac{w_2(B_k)}{w_2(B(0,R))}\right)^{1/q}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\frac{j(\lambda n-3n-2\beta)}{2}}\\
\le& C2^{-k(n+\beta)}R^{n+\beta}\|a\|_{L^q_{w_2}}\left(\frac{w_2(B_k)}{w_2(B(0,R))}\right)^{1/q}.
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

where the last series is convergent since $\lambda > 3 + (2\beta)/n$. Substituting the above inequality (18) into the term K_2, we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
K_2 \le& C\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} w_1(B_k)^{\alpha p/n}\bigg\{\|S_\beta(a)\chi_k\|^p_{L^q_{w_2}} + \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\frac{j\lambda n}{2}}\|S_{\beta,2^j}(a)\chi_k\|_{L^q_{w_2}}\Big)^p\bigg\}\\
\le& C\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty} w_1(B_k)^{\alpha p/n}\bigg\{2^{-kp(n+\beta)}R^{p(n+\beta)}\left(\frac{w_2(B_k)}{w_2(B(0,R))}\right)^{p/q}\|a\|^p_{L^q_{w_2}}\\
&+ 2^{-kp(n+\beta)}R^{p(n+\beta)}\left(\frac{w_2(B_k)}{w_2(B(0,R))}\right)^{p/q}\|a\|^p_{L^q_{w_2}}\bigg\}\\
\le& C\sum_{k=k_0+1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{R^{p(n+\beta)}}{2^{kp(n+\beta)}}\right)\left(\frac{w_1(B_k)}{w_1(B(0,R))}\right)^{\alpha p/n}\left(\frac{w_2(B_k)}{w_2(B(0,R))}\right)^{p/q}.
\end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof is exactly the same as that of Theorem 1, we can get

$$K_2 \le C. \tag{19}$$

Therefore, we conclude the proof of Theorem 3 for the case $0 < p \le 1$ by combining the above estimates (17) and (19). Finally, by using the same arguments as in Theorem 1, we can also prove the case of $1 < p < \infty$. We leave the details to the readers. □

Remark. *The corresponding results for non-homogeneous weighted Herz-type Hardy spaces can also be proved by atomic decomposition theory. The arguments are similar, so the details are omitted here.*

References

[1] A. Baernstein II, E. T. Sawyer, Embedding and multiplier theorems for $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc, **53**(1985).

[2] A. Beurling, Construction and analysis of some convolution algebras, Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble, **14**(1964), 1-32.

[3] J. Garcia-Cuerva and M.-J. L. Herrero, A theory of Hardy spaces associated to Herz spaces, Proc. London Math. Soc, **69**(1994), 605-628.

[4] J. Garcia-Cuerva and J. Rubio de Francia, Weighted Norm Inequalities and Related Topics, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1985.

[5] R. F. Gundy and R. L. Wheeden, Weighted integral inequalities for nontangential maximal function, Lusin area integral, and Walsh-Paley series, Studia Math, **49**(1974), 107-124.

[6] C. Herz, Lipschitz spaces and Bernstein's theorem on absolutely convergent Fourier transforms, J. Math. Mech, **18**(1968), 283-324.

[7] M. Y. Lee, Convolution operators on the weighted Herz-type Hardy spaces, J. Approx. Theory, **138**(2006), 197-210.

[8] S. Lu and D. Yang, The decomposition of the weighted Herz spaces and its applications, Sci. China(Ser. A), **38**(1995), 147-158.

[9] S. Lu and D. Yang, The weighted Herz-type Hardy spaces and its applications, Sci. China(Ser. A), **38**(1995), 662-673.

[10] S. Lu and D. Yang, The local versions of $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ spaces at the origin, Studia Math, **116**(1995), 103-131.

[11] S. Lu and D. Yang, Oscillatory singular integrals on Hardy spaces associated with Herz spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc, **123**(1995), 1695-1701.

[12] S. Lu and D. Yang, Herz-type Sobolev and Bessel potential spaces and their applications, Sci. China(Series. A), **40**(1997), 113-129.

[13] S. Lu and D. Yang, Some characterizations of weighted Herz-type Hardy spaces and its applications, Acta Math. Sinica(New Series), **13**(1997), 45-58.

[14] S. Lu, D. Yang and G. Hu, Herz Type Spaces and Their Applications, Science Press, Beijing, 2008.

[15] B. Muckenhoupt, Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc, **165**(1972), 207-226.

[16] A. Torchinsky, Real-Variable Methods in Harmonic Analysis, Academic Press, New York, 1986.

[17] M. Wilson, The intrinsic square function, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, **23**(2007), 771-791.

[18] M. Wilson, Weighted Littlewood-Paley Theory and Exponential-Square Integrability, Lecture Notes in Math, Vol 1924, Springer-Verlag, 2007.